



Stephen Hoffman

From: ecomment@pa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 4:34 PM
To: Environment-Committee@pasenate.com; environmentalcommittee@pahouse.net; regcomments@pa.gov; Troutman, Nick; Glendon King; Franzese, Evan B.; Eyster, Emily; IRRC
Cc: c-jflanaga@pa.gov
Subject: Comment received - Proposed Rulemaking: Safe Drinking Water PFAS MCL Rule (#7-569)

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Re: eComment System

The Department of Environmental Protection has received the following comments on Proposed Rulemaking: Safe Drinking Water PFAS MCL Rule (#7-569).

Commenter Information:

Eireann Young
(eireann.young@gmail.com)
5109 Webster St
Philadelphia, PA 19143 US

Comments entered:

Dear DEP Regulatory Comments,

I'm a concerned citizen in Philadelphia writing to urge you to support stronger Safe Drinking Water Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) rules. It is critical that Pennsylvania adopt strong drinking water safeguards for these harmful compounds.

PFAS are a group of toxic man-made chemicals broadly used in industry that are known to pose health risks in humans. They degrade slowly in the environment and accumulate in soil, groundwater, drinking water, food products, and in humans. PFAS can lead to cancer and hormone disruption, among other health impacts. In Pennsylvania, sampling by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) suggests that hundreds of public drinking water sources contain PFAS compounds in concentrations high enough to be harmful to human health.

A committee of medical and environmental experts was engaged by the DEP (the Drexel PFAS Advisory Group) to develop a set of maximum contaminant level goals for a number of PFAS compounds in drinking water. These reflect the potential of the compounds to harm people, in particular children.

Yet, the proposed rule would propose standards for only two compounds in drinking water: Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS).

The DEP should propose standards for at least four additional compounds: Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA), and Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS). The Drexel group, which was engaged by the DEP, found that these compounds are also harmful to human health. The DEP has identified these compounds in drinking water at levels higher than health-based goals developed by that group. Still, the DEP is incorrectly assuming that regulating only two PFAS compounds would provide sufficient protection against the others. Also, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and state agencies have found that the effect of these compounds is compounding, so it's even more critical that we limit concentrations for all of them.

I strongly support the DEP taking action to regulate PFAS compounds in Pennsylvania drinking water, and urge the DEP to add new standards to the proposed rule for at least four additional compounds.

Sincerely,

No attachments were included as part of this comment.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Jessica Shirley

Jessica Shirley
Director, Office of Policy
PA Department of Environmental Protection
Rachel Carson State Office Building
P.O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063
Office: 717-783-8727
Fax: 717-783-8926
ecomment@pa.gov